

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/918,365	07/30/2001	Eugene T. Michal	ACS 55933	1073
7:	590 07/12/2006		EXAMI	INER
Cameron Kerrigan SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P One Maritime Plaza Suite 300			MICHENER, JENNIFER KOLB	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1762	
San Francisco,	CA 94111-3492		DATE MAILED: 07/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/918,365	MICHAL ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jennifer K. Michener	1762		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠ 2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 Ap</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□	Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-33 and 35-46 is/ar Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 and 34 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 30 July 2001 is/are: a)	election requirement.	y the Examiner.		
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) 🔲 Notico 3) 🔲 Inforn	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dail 5) Notice of Informal Pail 6) Other:	PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/918,365

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

The following new 112 rejections are made as necessitated by amendment:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-18 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for immobilization via amine and aldehyde groups or PEG, etc, does not reasonably provide enablement for all "chemical agents". The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. One of ordinary skill in the art is not enabled to practice or use the invention for all chemical agents.
- 3. Claims 1-18 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There does not appear to be basis in the originally filed specification for the broad genus of "chemical agents" for use in immobilization (see also above).

Application/Control Number: 09/918,365 Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

4. Claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 10-11, 13, 15-17, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wang et al. (6,358,557).

Examiner maintains the rejection of the previous office action.

Regarding the newly-added limitation requiring immobilizing "by reaction of the functional groups and the anti-thrombogenic material via a chemical agent", Examiner notes, as outlined in the previous office action, that Wang immobilizes the anti-thrombogenic agent via functional groups of the polymerized base coat to the pendant amine group of his heparin compound. These functional groups act as the required "chemical agent" in the same way that Applicant's specification outlines.

Furthermore, since "direct immobilization" is defined by Applicant as attachment of the anti-thrombogenic agent directly to a support member though at least one intermediate component (p. 5 of Specification and throughout), "direct" immobilization allows for one or more intermediate chemicals. In this case, Wang teaches an alternative embodiment in which chemical tie coats on top of the basecoat may be used to serve as the heparin reservoir (col. 11, lines 35). This tie coat is a "chemical agent" in the same way as PEG, as used in Applicant's specification for the same purpose, is.

Covalent attachment is a "reaction".

Application/Control Number: 09/918,365 Page 4

Art Unit: 1762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

5. Claims 2, 8-9, 12, 14, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al.

Examiner maintains the rejection of the previous office action.

6. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang in view of Fan et al. (5,620,738).

Examiner maintains the rejection of the previous office action.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 4/26/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Arguments have been addressed, above, in the body of the rejections.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Ļ

Application/Control Number: 09/918,365

Art Unit: 1762

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 5

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer K. Michener whose telephone number is (571) 272-1424. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Wednesday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy H. Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

١

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jennifer K. Michener Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762 July 5, 2006

jkm